

REMEDY,
and competent to the cure of
all diseases, will be found in
an Vegetable
PILLS,
n College of Health.
are composed of Plants which
are entirely natural, and we can
guarantee that they will be
found to be compounded, and
the PILLS are founded upon
the most reliable principles
of medicine, and will cure this disease
in all cases.
PRINCIPLES,
be body it will be manifest
entirely exhausted—a perfect
direction, in absolutely certain
from the body.
GETABLE PILLS
not the very best medicine in
the world.
ING PRINCIPLE,
all morbid and corrupt humors
and NATURAL MAN-
NATURE.
PLEASURE,
driven from the body.
VEGETABLE PILLS, have
been tried, and we can
guarantee that they will be
found to be compounded, and
the PILLS are founded upon
the most reliable principles
of medicine, and will cure this disease
in all cases.
The testimonials which have
been received, and which are
being published, show that
the pills are not only a
valuable remedy, but also a
valuable tonic, and will
be found to be a most
valuable remedy in all
cases of debility, and
in all cases of
dyspepsia, and in all
cases of
constipation, and in all
cases of
neuralgia, and in all
cases of
rheumatism, and in all
cases of
gout, and in all
cases of
sciatica, and in all
cases of
migraine, and in all
cases of
headache, and in all
cases of
indigestion, and in all
cases of
flatulence, and in all
cases of
wind, and in all
cases of
heartburn, and in all
cases of
acid eructation, and in all
cases of
bristly tongue, and in all
cases of
dryness of the mouth, and in all
cases of
thirst, and in all
cases of
fever, and in all
cases of
chills, and in all
cases of
debility, and in all
cases of
weakness, and in all
cases of
loss of appetite, and in all
cases of
loss of sleep, and in all
cases of
loss of memory, and in all
cases of
loss of power, and in all
cases of
loss of vitality, and in all
cases of
loss of health, and in all
cases of
loss of life.

Oxford Democrat.

No. 23, Vol. 2, New Series.

Paris, Maine, Tuesday, October 11, 1842.

Old Series, No. 34, Vol. 9.

OXFORD DEMOCRAT,
PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY BY
George W. Elliott,
EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR.
TERMS:—One Dollar and Fifty cents in advance.
Advertisements inserted on reasonable terms;
the Proprietor not being accountable for any errors
beyond the amount charged for the advertisement.
A reasonable deduction will be made for cash in ad-
vance, and no credit will be given for a longer period
than three months.
COMMUNICATIONS AND LETTERS on business must
be Post-Paid to insure attention.

Book and Job Printing
Executed with neatness and despatch.
BROCKETT & INGRAM,
TAILORS,
AND DEALERS IN
Broadcloths, Cassimeres & Vestings,
No. 7, Exchange Street,
Wm. C. BECKETT, }
Wm. W. INGRAM, } PORTLAND.
3m20

THEODORE MERRILL
HAS just returned from Boston, and offers a beau-
tiful assortment of
NOVELTY DE LAINES, ALL-
WASHES, SATINETS, CLOTHES,
CALICOES,
From eleven to thirty cts. per yard.
Silk & Cotton Velvet.
SHAWLS, CLOVES, TRIM-
MINGS for Gentlemen's Clothes.
Dress & Bonnet Silk.
CLOAK CLOTHS.
A splendid assortment of RIBBONS, LACES, and a variety
of smaller articles at low prices.
Miss M. will keep Bonnets on hand and make to order.
Oxford, Nov. 1841. 4-27

Spring Goods! Spring Goods!!
CHARLES F. KITTREDGE,
WOULD inform his friends and the public gener-
ally that he has just received from Boston a good
assortment of
NEW GOODS,
which he will sell at low prices for CASH, or approved
credit.
He also has, and intends to keep, on hand all kinds of
LUMBER,
such as Boards, Plank, Shingle and Clapboards.
South Paris, April 11th, 1842. 4-49

WILLIAM B. BENNETT,
Attorney at Law,
BUCKFIELD, Me.
HAVING been supplied with all necessary papers
he is now ready to assist those who purpose to avail
themselves of the provisions of the Bankrupt Law. Any
business under said Act entrusted to him will be faith-
fully attended to.
March 3, 1842. 4-1

WILLIAM K. KIMBALL,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
CANTON VILLAGE, Me.
TIMOTHY LUDDEN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
TURNER-VILLAGE, Me.
Dr. T. H. Brown,
SURGEON DENTIST,
PARIS HILL.
SAMUEL F. RAWSON,
Deputy Sheriff,
PARIS HILL, OXFORD COUNTY.
By All business by Mail, or otherwise, promptly at-
tended to.
Feb. 14, 1842. 4-1

SAMUEL F. MARBLE,
DEPUTY SHERIFF,
FOR THE COUNTIES OF
CUMBERLAND & OXFORD,
POLAND, Me.

CLOCKS & WATCHES
Cleaned & Repaired by
B. WALTON,
Paris Hill, Me.
Administrators & Guardians
DEEDS
FOR SALE, AT THE
OXFORD DEMOCRAT OFFICE.
Administrator's Sale.
BY virtue of license from the Judge of Probate for
the County of Oxford, I shall sell at public Auction
at the Store of O. C. Bolster at Rumford Point,
on Tuesday the first day of November, 1842, at 3 o'clock
P. M., the following real estate belonging to **ABEL**
CHAPMAN, Jr. late of Rumford, deceased, for the
purpose of paying the just debts of said deceased and in-
cidental charges of administration, viz:—All the right said
deceased had in his decease in and to a lot of land and
the buildings thereon adjoining the ferry at Rumford
Point, the title made known at the time of sale.
Also, at Lewis Crockett's in Andover, on Wednesday,
the second day of Nov. 1842, at 3 o'clock P. M. Lot No.
six, in the second Range, East side of Ellis river—Also
Pew No 32 in the Meeting House at Andover Corner—
Also, one acre of land, being a part of home Lot of said
deceased adjoining land of Sam'l R. Chapman—Also,
the right of the reversion of the Widow's dower as set
out to her in the homestead.
ABEL CHAPMAN, Administrator.
Andover, Sept. 21, 1842. 4-1

ATOMIC SNUFF,
Aromatic herbs—its flavor
is pleasing and salutary—
it removes drowsiness, it is of
great use in cases of Catarrh of
the nose, it is a most effectual
remedy for a cold, and is a
valuable tonic, and will
be found to be a most
valuable remedy in all
cases of debility, and in all
cases of
dyspepsia, and in all
cases of
constipation, and in all
cases of
neuralgia, and in all
cases of
rheumatism, and in all
cases of
gout, and in all
cases of
sciatica, and in all
cases of
migraine, and in all
cases of
headache, and in all
cases of
indigestion, and in all
cases of
flatulence, and in all
cases of
wind, and in all
cases of
heartburn, and in all
cases of
acid eructation, and in all
cases of
bristly tongue, and in all
cases of
dryness of the mouth, and in all
cases of
thirst, and in all
cases of
fever, and in all
cases of
chills, and in all
cases of
debility, and in all
cases of
weakness, and in all
cases of
loss of appetite, and in all
cases of
loss of sleep, and in all
cases of
loss of memory, and in all
cases of
loss of power, and in all
cases of
loss of vitality, and in all
cases of
loss of health, and in all
cases of
loss of life.

ATOMIC SNUFF,
Aromatic herbs—its flavor
is pleasing and salutary—
it removes drowsiness, it is of
great use in cases of Catarrh of
the nose, it is a most effectual
remedy for a cold, and is a
valuable tonic, and will
be found to be a most
valuable remedy in all
cases of debility, and in all
cases of
dyspepsia, and in all
cases of
constipation, and in all
cases of
neuralgia, and in all
cases of
rheumatism, and in all
cases of
gout, and in all
cases of
sciatica, and in all
cases of
migraine, and in all
cases of
headache, and in all
cases of
indigestion, and in all
cases of
flatulence, and in all
cases of
wind, and in all
cases of
heartburn, and in all
cases of
acid eructation, and in all
cases of
bristly tongue, and in all
cases of
dryness of the mouth, and in all
cases of
thirst, and in all
cases of
fever, and in all
cases of
chills, and in all
cases of
debility, and in all
cases of
weakness, and in all
cases of
loss of appetite, and in all
cases of
loss of sleep, and in all
cases of
loss of memory, and in all
cases of
loss of power, and in all
cases of
loss of vitality, and in all
cases of
loss of health, and in all
cases of
loss of life.

ATOMIC SNUFF,
Aromatic herbs—its flavor
is pleasing and salutary—
it removes drowsiness, it is of
great use in cases of Catarrh of
the nose, it is a most effectual
remedy for a cold, and is a
valuable tonic, and will
be found to be a most
valuable remedy in all
cases of debility, and in all
cases of
dyspepsia, and in all
cases of
constipation, and in all
cases of
neuralgia, and in all
cases of
rheumatism, and in all
cases of
gout, and in all
cases of
sciatica, and in all
cases of
migraine, and in all
cases of
headache, and in all
cases of
indigestion, and in all
cases of
flatulence, and in all
cases of
wind, and in all
cases of
heartburn, and in all
cases of
acid eructation, and in all
cases of
bristly tongue, and in all
cases of
dryness of the mouth, and in all
cases of
thirst, and in all
cases of
fever, and in all
cases of
chills, and in all
cases of
debility, and in all
cases of
weakness, and in all
cases of
loss of appetite, and in all
cases of
loss of sleep, and in all
cases of
loss of memory, and in all
cases of
loss of power, and in all
cases of
loss of vitality, and in all
cases of
loss of health, and in all
cases of
loss of life.

ATOMIC SNUFF,
Aromatic herbs—its flavor
is pleasing and salutary—
it removes drowsiness, it is of
great use in cases of Catarrh of
the nose, it is a most effectual
remedy for a cold, and is a
valuable tonic, and will
be found to be a most
valuable remedy in all
cases of debility, and in all
cases of
dyspepsia, and in all
cases of
constipation, and in all
cases of
neuralgia, and in all
cases of
rheumatism, and in all
cases of
gout, and in all
cases of
sciatica, and in all
cases of
migraine, and in all
cases of
headache, and in all
cases of
indigestion, and in all
cases of
flatulence, and in all
cases of
wind, and in all
cases of
heartburn, and in all
cases of
acid eructation, and in all
cases of
bristly tongue, and in all
cases of
dryness of the mouth, and in all
cases of
thirst, and in all
cases of
fever, and in all
cases of
chills, and in all
cases of
debility, and in all
cases of
weakness, and in all
cases of
loss of appetite, and in all
cases of
loss of sleep, and in all
cases of
loss of memory, and in all
cases of
loss of power, and in all
cases of
loss of vitality, and in all
cases of
loss of health, and in all
cases of
loss of life.

CORRESPONDENCE WITH BRITISH SPECIAL MISSION.

[CONTINUED]
The Maine Commissioners to Mr. Webster.
Washington, July 22, 1842.

SIR:—The undersigned commissioners of the State of Maine on the subject of the Northeastern boundary, have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your note, addressed to the undersigned, dated the 15th instant, with enclosures therein referred to. The proposition first submitted by the special minister of Great Britain, on the subject of the boundary, having been disagreed to, and the proposition made on the part of the United States, with the assent of the commissioners of Maine and Massachusetts, having been rejected as inadmissible, coupled with the expression of surprise that it should have been made; and Lord Ashburton, in the same communication, having intimated a preference for conference rather than correspondence, and having omitted in his note to make any new proposition, except a qualified withdrawal of a part of his former one, we learn from your note that you "have had full and frequent conferences with him respecting the Northeastern boundary," and that you "believe you understand what is practicable to be done on that subject, so far as he (Lord Ashburton) is concerned." We also learn, that "in these conferences he has made no positive or binding proposition, thinking, perhaps, it would be more desirable, under present circumstances, that such a proposition should proceed from the side of the United States;" and that you have reason to believe that he would agree to a line of boundary such as is described in the paper accompanying your note (marked B); and also that you entertain the conviction "that no more advantageous arrangement can be made; and, with this conviction, you refer the subject to the grave deliberation of the commissioners."

Regarding this as substantially a proposition on the part of United States, with the knowledge and assent of Great Britain, and as the one, most favorable to us, which, under any circumstances, the latter government would either offer or accept, the undersigned have not failed to bestow upon it the grave deliberation and consideration which its nature and importance, and their responsible position demand. If the result of that deliberation should not fully justify the expressed hopes or meet the expectations and views of the government of the United States, we beg you to be assured that such failure will be the result of their firm conviction of duty to the State they represent, and will not arise from any want of anxious desire, on their part, to bring the controversy to an amicable, just, and honorable termination. In coming to this consideration they have not been unmindful that the State of Maine, with the firmest conviction of her absolute right to the whole territory drawn into controversy, and sustained, as she has been, by the unanimous concurrence of her sister States, and of the government of the Union, repeatedly expressed and cordially given, and without wavering doubt as to the perfect practicability of marking the treaty line upon the face of the earth, according to her claim, has yet, at all times, manifested a spirit of forbearance and patience under what she could not but deem unfounded pretensions, and unwarrantable delays and irritating encroachments.

In the midst of all the provocations to resistance, and to the assertion and maintenance of her extreme rights, she has never forgotten that she is a member of the Union, and she has endeavored to deserve the respect, sympathy, and co-operation of her sister State, by pursuing a course equally removed from pusillanimity and rashness, and by maintaining her difficult position in a spirit that would forbear much for peace, but yield nothing through fear. At all times, and under all circumstances, she has been ready and anxious to bring the controversy to a close upon terms honorable and equitable, and to unite in any proper scheme to affect that object. In this spirit, and with these convictions, Maine instantly and cheerfully acceded to the proposals of the General Government made through you to appoint commissioners.

That no obstacle might be interposed to the successful issue of this negotiation, her legislative gave to her commissioners ample and unlimited powers, which, but for the presumed necessity of the case, her people would be slow to yield to any functionaries. Her commissioners, thus appointed and thus empowered, assumed the duty imposed upon them in the spirit and with the views of the government and people of Maine. They came to the negotiation with a firm conviction of her rights, but with a disposition and determination to meet a conciliatory proposition for a conventional line in a similar spirit, and to yield for any reasonable equivalent, all that they presumed would be asked or desired by the other party. They, with the other citizens of Maine, were not unapprised of the fact so often alluded to in our former communications, that England had long been anxious to obtain the undisputed possession of that portion of the territory which would enable her to maintain a direct and uninterrupted communication between her provinces. So far as they could learn from any source, this was the only professed object she had in view, and the only one which has been regarded in contemplation.

With this understanding the undersigned, at once decided to yield, upon the most liberal terms, this long-sought convenience, and they indulged the confident expectation that such concession would at once meet all the wants and wishes of English government, and bring the mission to a speedy and satisfactory close. When, therefore, we were met at the outset by a proposition which required the cession on our part of all the territory north of the St. John river, and enough of

the territory on the south to include the Madawaska settlement, extending at least fifty miles up that river, with no other equivalent to us than the limited right to float timber down that river, and to the United States the small tracts adjacent to the forty-fifth parallel of latitude in other States, we could not but express our regret to be thus, as it were, repelled. But regarding this, rather as the extreme limit of a claim, subject, notwithstanding the strong language of Lord Ashburton, to be restrained and limited, we deemed it proper, in our communication of the 6th instant, after declining to accede to the proposition, in conjunction with the commissioners of Massachusetts, to point out and offer a conventional line of boundary as therein specified.

In fixing on this line, we were mainly anxious to select such a one as should at once and permanently give to Great Britain all that was necessary for her understood object, and to preserve to Maine the remainder of her territory. To accomplish this object, we departed from the river to secure the unobstructed use of the accustomed way from Quebec to Halifax. We were not aware that any objection has been made, from any quarter to this line, as not giving up to Great Britain all that she needed, or could reasonably ask for the above purpose. And although Lord Ashburton did not deem it necessary to "examine the line (proposed) in its precise details," or to look at a map on which it could most readily be traced, and although he has seen fit to say that he was "quite at a loss to account for such a proposal," yet he has not intimated that the line suggested is, in any respect, to meet the object we had in view, and which we frankly and readily avowed.

It is well known to you, sir, that we had determined upon no such inflexible adherence to that exact demarcation as would have prevented us from changing it, upon any reasonable evidence that it did not, in every respect, meet the requirements of the above stated proposition, in relation to a perfect line of communication. But believing then, as we do now, that it did thus meet all these requirements; and although it was, as we felt bound to say, the general and confident expectation of the people of Maine that any relinquishment on our part of jurisdiction and territory would be, in part at least, compensated from that strip or contiguous territory on the West bank of the St. John; yet, when we were solemnly assured that no such cession could be made under his lordship's instructions, we forbore to press for this reasonable and just exchange, and contented ourselves with accepting the limited right of navigation of the river, as the only equivalent from Great Britain for the territory and jurisdiction we offered to surrender. And, as you remark, we offered not merely a right of way on land for a similar easement on the water, but the entire and absolute title to the land, and jurisdiction of the large tract North and East of the line specified.

It cannot be denied that it preserves to us a frontier in a forest almost impenetrable on the north, which would defend itself by its own natural character, and that, if any thing should be deducted from the agricultural value of that portion beyond the Madawaska settlements, on account of its ruggedness and its want of attraction to settlers, much may be added to its value as a boundary between the two nations. The value of this tract to Great Britain, both in a civil and military point of view, cannot be overlooked. It gives her the route for the movement of troops in war, and her mails and passengers in peace, and is most particularly important in case of renewed outbreaks in her North American colonies. The assumption of jurisdiction in the Madawaska settlement, and the pertinacity with which it has been maintained, are practical evidence of the value attached to the tract by the Government of Her Britannic Majesty.

We have alluded to these views of the value and importance of this territory, not with any design of expressing our regret that we thus offered it, but to show that we are fully aware of all the views and circumstances affecting the question, and that we duly appreciate the far seeing sagacity and prudence of those British statesmen who so early attempted to secure it as a cession, by negotiation, and the suggestion of equivalents.

The answer of Lord Ashburton to your note of the 8th instant contained a distinct rejection of our offer, with a substantial withdrawal of his claim to any territory south of the river St. John, but not modifying the claim for the relinquishment, on the part of Maine and the United States, of all north of that river. Our views in reference to many of the topics in his lordship's reply we have had the honor heretofore to communicate to your note of the 16th instant; and to that answer we would now refer, as forming an important part of the negotiation, and as containing our refusal indicated. We are now called upon to consider the final proposition made by our consideration and acceptance. The line indicated may be shortly defined as the line recommended by the King of the Netherlands, and in addition thereto of a strip of land, at the base of the highlands, running to the source of the southwest branch of the St. John. The examination and consideration of all other lines, which might better meet our views and objects, have been precluded by the declaration, and other plenary evidence we have, that the line specified in your communication is the most advantageous that can be offered to us; and that no one of less extent, so yielding in fact less to the other party, can be deemed admissible. We are, therefore, brought to the single and simple consideration of the question, whether we can, consistently with our views of our duty to the State we represent, accept the proposition submitted by you.

So far as any claim is interposed, based upon a supposed equity arising from the recommendation of the King of the Netherlands, we have on that topic. We have now only to add, that we came to this conference untrammelled and free, to see if, in a spirit of amity and equity, we could not find and agree upon some new line, while it yielded all that was needed by one party, might fairly be the motive and groundwork for equivalent territory or rights granted to the other; and that we cannot make any admission or consent to any proposition which would not revive, but put vitality and power into that which up to this time, has never possessed either. We base our whole actions on grounds entirely independent of the arbiters.

It may possibly be intimated in this connexion, as it has more than once been heretofore, that the commissioners of Maine, and the people of the State, are disposed to regard the whole territory as clearly falling within their rightful limits, and are not willing to consider the question as one in doubt and dispute, and, therefore, one to be settled as if each party had nearly or quite equal claims. Certainly, sir, the people and government of Maine do not deny that the question has been drawn into dispute. They have had too many and too recent painful evidence of that fact, to allow such a doubt, however much at a loss they may be to perceive any just or tenable grounds on which the adversary claim is based. For years they have borne and forborne, and struggled to maintain their right, in a peaceable and yet unflinching spirit, against what appeared to them injustice from abroad and neglect at home. But they have yet to learn that the mere fact that an adverse claim is made and persisted in, and maintained by ingenuity and ability for a series of years, increasing in intent and varying its grounds as years roll on, is to be regarded as a reason why courtesy should require, in opposition to the fact, a relinquishment of the confidence, or that a continued, adverse, and resisted claim, may yet, by mere lapse of time and reiteration, ripen into a right.

But we desire it to be distinctly remembered, that in this attempt to negotiate for a conventional line, Maine has not insisted, or even requested, that any formal or virtual admission of her title to the whole territory should be a condition preliminary to a settlement. We hold, and we claim, a right to express, at all times, and in all suitable places, our opinion of the perfect right of Maine to the whole territory; but we have never assumed it, as a point of honor, that our adversary should acknowledge it. Indeed, we have endeavored to view the subject rather in reference to a settlement, on even hard terms for us, than to dwell on the aspect of the case, when we look at the naked question of our right and title under the treaty. It could hardly be expected, however, that we should silently, and thus virtually, acquiesce in any assumption that our claim was unsustainable, and that "the treaty line was not executable." On this point we expressed ourselves fully in a former note.

In returning to the direct consideration of the last proposition, and the terms and conditions attending it, in justice to ourselves and our State, we feel bound to declare, and we confidently appeal to you, sir, in confirmation of the declaration, that this negotiation has been conducted, on our own part, with no mercenary views, and with no design to extort unreasonable equivalents or extravagant compensation. The State of Maine has always felt an insuperable repugnance to parting with any portion even of her disputed territory, for mere pecuniary recompense from adverse claimants. She comes here for no mere bargain for the sale of acres, in the spirit or with the art of traffic. Her commissioners have been much less anxious to secure benefit and recompense, than to preserve the State from unnecessary curtailment and dismemberment. The proposition we made is evidence in fact. We have heretofore expressed some opinions of the mutual character of the benefits to each party from the navigation of the St. John. Without entering, however, upon the particular consideration of the terms and conditions, which we have thought it necessary to do, we distinctly state that our great repugnance to the line is based upon the extent of territory required to be yielded. We may, however, in passing, remark that all the pecuniary efforts contained in your note, most liberally construed, would scarcely recompense and pay to Maine the amount of money and interest which she has actually expended in defending and protecting the territory from the wrongs arising and threatened by reason of its condition as disputed ground.

Considering then the proposition as involving the surrender of more territory than the avowed objects of England require, as removing our landmarks from the well known and well defined boundary of the treaty of 1783, on the crest of the highlands, besides insisting upon the line of the river in its full extent, we feel bound to say, after the most careful and anxious consideration, that we cannot bring our minds to the conviction that the proposal is such as Maine had a right to expect.

But we are not unaware of the expectations which have been and are still entertained of a favorable issue to this negotiation by the government and people of this country, and the great disappointment which would be felt and expressed at its failure. Nor are we unmindful of the future, warned as we have been by the past, that any attempts to determine the line by arbitration, must be either fruitless, or with a result more to be deplored.

We are now given to understand that the Executive of the United States, assents to the proposal, and that this department of the government,

at least, is anxious for its acceptance, as, in view, most expedient for the general good.

The commissioners of Massachusetts have already given their assent on behalf of that commonwealth. Thus situated, the commissioners of Maine, invoking the spirit of attachment and patriotic devotion of their State to the Union, and being willing to yield to the deliberative convictions of her sister States as the path of duty, and to interpose no obstacles to an adjustment which the general judgment of the nation shall pronounce as honorable and expedient, even if that judgment shall lead to a surrender of a portion of the birthright of the people of their State, and prized by them because of their birthright, have determined to overcome their objections to the proposal, so far as to say, that if, upon mature consideration, the Senate of the United States shall advise and consent to the ratification of a treaty, corresponding in its terms with your proposal, and with the conditions in our memorandum accompanying this note (marked A.) and identified by our signatures, they, by virtue of the power invested in them by the resolves by the Legislature of Maine, give the assent of that State to such conventional line, with the terms, conditions and equivalents herein mentioned.

We have the honor to be, sir,
With high respect, your ob't serv'ts,
EDWARD KAVANAGH,
EDWARD KENT,
JOHN OTIS,
WM. P. PREBLE,
Hon. Daniel Webster, &c.

A.
The commissioners of Maine request the following provisions, or the substance thereof, shall be incorporated into the proposed treaty, should one be agreed on:

1st. That the amount of "the disputed territory fund" (so called) received by the authorities of New Brunswick, for timber cut on the disputed territory, shall be paid over to the United States, for the use of Maine and Massachusetts, in full, and a particular account rendered, or a gross sum, to be agreed upon by the commissioners of Maine and Massachusetts, shall be paid by Great Britain, as a settlement of that fund; and all claims, bonds, and securities, taken for timber cut upon the territory, be transferred to the authorities of Maine and Massachusetts.
2d. That all grants of land within that portion of the disputed territory conceded to Great Britain, made by Maine and Massachusetts, or either of them, shall be confirmed, and equitable possessory titles shall be quieted, to those who possess the claims; and we assent to a reciprocal provision for the benefit of settlers falling within the limits of Maine. And we trust that the voluntary suggestions of the British minister, in regard to John Baker and any other, if there be any similarly situated, will be carried into effect, so as to secure their rights.

3d. That the right of free navigation of the St. John, as set forth in the proposition of Mr. Webster, on the part of the United States, shall extend to and include the products of the soil, in the same manner as the other products of the forest; and that no toll, tax, or duty be levied upon timber coming from the territory of Maine.
EDWARD KAVANAGH,
EDWARD KENT,
JOHN OTIS,
WM. P. PREBLE.

Lord Ashburton to Mr. Webster.
WASHINGTON, June 13, 1842.

SIR:—On considering the most effective mode of proceeding to arrive at an amicable and satisfactory termination of the long continued controversy respecting the Northeastern boundary, between the British colony of New Brunswick and the State of Maine, I believe that I may confidently conclude from what has passed in the preliminary conference which I had the honor of holding with you, that we concur in the opinion that no advantage would be gained by resorting to the interminable discussion on the general ground on which each party consider their claims respectively to rest.

In the course of the many years that this discussion has lasted, every argument, on either side, is apparently exhausted, and that without any approach to an agreement. The present attempt, therefore, of a settlement, must rest, for its success, not on the renewal of a controversy, but on proceeding on a presumption that all means of a reciprocal conviction having failed, as also the experiment of calling in the aid of a friendly arbiter and umpire, there remains only the alternative of a compromise for a solution of this, otherwise apparently insurmountable difficulty, unless indeed, it were determined to try a second arbitration, attended by its delay, trouble and expense in defiance of past experience as to the probability of any more satisfactory results.

It is undoubtedly true that, should our present attempt unfortunately fail, there might remain no other alternative but a second reference, yet when I consider all the difficulty and uncertainty attending it, I trust that all parties will come to the conclusion that the very intricate details connected with the case must be better known and judged by our two governments than any diligence can make them to be by any third party, and that a sincere, candid disposition to give reciprocally fair weight to the arguments on either side is likely to lead us to a more satisfactory settlement than an engagement to abide by the uncertain award of a less competent tribunal.

The very friendly and cordial reception given by you, sir, as well as by all the authorities of your government, to the assurance that my mission here, by my sovereign, has been determined by an unfeigned desire to settle this and all other questions between us, on principles of concilia-

tion and justice, forbids me to anticipate the possibility of the failure of our endeavors applied with sincerity to this purpose.

With this view of the case, therefore, although not unprepared to enter into the general argument, I abstain from so doing from the conviction that an amicable settlement of this vexed question, so generally desired, will be thereby best promoted. But, at the same time, some opinions have been industriously circulated throughout this controversy, and in some instances by persons in authority, of a description so much calculated to mislead the public mind, that I think it may be of service to offer a few observations.

I do not, of course, complain of the earnest adherence of partisans on either side to the general arguments on which their case is supposed to rest. But a position has been taken, and facts have been repeatedly stated, which I am sure the authorities of the Federal Government will be abundantly able to contradict, but which have evidently given rise to much public misapprehension. It is maintained that the whole of this controversy began in 1814: that up to that period the line as claimed by Maine was undisputed by Great Britain, and that the claim was avowedly founded on motives of interest, to obtain the means of conveniently connecting the British Provinces. I confine these remarks to the refuting this impression, and I should, indeed, not have entered upon the controversy, even on this, if it did not appear to involve in some degree a question of national sincerity and good faith.

The assertion is founded on the discussions which preceded the treaty of peace signed at Ghent, in 1814. It is perfectly true that a proposal was submitted by the British plenipotentiaries for the revision of the boundary line on the northeastern frontier, and it was founded on the position that it was desired to secure the communication between the provinces, the precise delineation of which was at that time imperfectly known. The American plenipotentiaries, in their first communication from Ghent to the Secretary of State, admit that the British ministers disclaimed any intention of acquiring an increase of territory, and that they proposed the revision for the purpose of preventing uncertainty and dispute—a purpose sufficiently justified by subsequent events. Again, in their note of the 14th of Sept. 1814, the British ministers remind those from America that the boundary line has never been ascertained, and that the line claimed by America, which interrupted the communication between Halifax and Quebec, never could have been in the contemplation of the parties to the treaty of peace in 1783.

The same view of the case will be found to pervade all the communications between the plenipotentiaries of the two countries at Ghent. There was no attempt to press any cession of territory on the ground of policy or expedience; but the precise geography of the country was not known, it was notorious at the time that different opinions existed as to the boundary likely to result from continuing the north line from the head of the river St. Croix. This appears to have been so clearly known and admitted by the American plenipotentiaries, that they, in submitting to the conference the project of a treaty, offer a preamble to their fourth article in these words: "Whereas neither that part of the highlands lying due north from the source of the river St. Croix, and designated in the former treaty of peace between the two powers, as the Northwest angle of Nova Scotia, nor the northwesternmost head of Connecticut river, has yet been ascertained," &c.

It should here be observed that these are the words proposed, not by the British, but by the American plenipotentiaries, and that they were finally adopted by both in the 5th article of the treaty. To close my observations upon what passed on this subject at Ghent, I would draw your attention to the letter of Mr. Gallatin, one of the American plenipotentiaries, to Mr. Secretary Monroe, on the 25th Dec. 1814.

He offers the following conjecture as to what might probably be the arguments of Great Britain against the line set up by America: "They hope that the river which empties into the Bay de Chaleurs in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, has its source so far west as to intervene between the head waters of the St. Johns, and those of the streams emptying into the St. Lawrence: so that the line north from the river St. Croix will strike the heights of land which divide the waters emptying into the Atlantic ocean (river St. Johns) from those emptying into the Gulf of St. Lawrence (river de Chaleurs) and afterward the heights of land which divide the waters emptying into the Gulf of St. Lawrence (river de Chaleurs) from those emptying into the river St. Lawrence; but that the said line never can, in the words of the treaty, strike any spot of land actually dividing the waters emptying into the Atlantic ocean, from those which empty into the river St. Lawrence."

So obvious an argument in opposition to the line claimed by America could not escape the known sagacity of Mr. Gallatin. I state it not for the purpose of discussing its merits, but to show that, at Ghent, not only the fact was well known that this boundary was a matter in dispute, but that the argument respecting it had been weighed by the gentlemen so eminent in its subsequent discussion.

Indeed the fact that the American ministers made the disputed question a matter for reference, by a treaty afterward ratified by the President and Senate, must to every candid mind be sufficient proof that it was generally considered to be involved in sufficient doubt to entitle it to such a mode of solution. It cannot, possibly, be supposed that the President and Senate would have admitted, by treaty, doubts respecting this boundary, if they had been heard of for the first time through the pretensions of the British plenipotentiaries at Ghent.

If the argument or assertions which I am now noticing, and to which I studiously confine myself had not come from authority, I should owe some apology for these observations. The history of this important controversy is too well known to you, sir, and stands too voluminously recorded in your department, to make them necessary for your own information.

The repeated discussions between the two countries and the repeated project for settlement which have occupied every succeeding administration of the United States, sufficiently prove how unfounded is the assertion that the doubts

and difficulties respecting this boundary had their first origin in the year 1814. It is true that down to that time, and indeed to a later period, the local features of the country were little known, and the different arguments had in consequence not assumed any definite form; but sufficient was known to both parties to satisfy them of the impossibility of tracing strictly the boundary, prescribed by the treaty of peace in 1783. I would prefer in proof of this, simply to American authorities and those of the very first order.

In the year 1802, Mr. Madison, at that time Secretary of the State for the United States, in his instructions to Mr. Rufus King, observed that the difficulty in fixing the northwest angle of Nova Scotia, "arises from a reference in the treaty of 1783, to highlands which it is now found have no definite existence." And he suggests the appointment of a commission, to be jointly appointed, "to determine on a point most proper to be substituted for the description in article II of the treaty in 1783." Again, Mr. President Jefferson, in a message to Congress, on the 17th October, 1803, stated that "a farther knowledge of the ground in the northeastern or northwestern angles of the United States has evinced that the boundaries established by the treaty of Paris, between the British territory and ours, in those points, were too imperfectly described to be susceptible of execution." These opinions of two most distinguished American statesmen gave rise to a convention of boundary, made in London by Rufus King and Lord Hawesbury, which from other circumstances, which it is not necessary to refer to, was not ratified by the Senate.

I might farther refer you on this subject to the report of Judge Sullivan, who acted as a commissioner of the United States, for settling the controversy with Great Britain, respecting the true river St. Croix, who says, "the boundary between Nova Scotia and Canada was described by the King's proclamation in the same mode of expression as that used in the treaty of peace. Commissioners who were appointed to settle that line have traversed the country in vain to find the highlands designated as the boundary."

With these known facts, how can it possibly be maintained that doubts about the boundary arose for the first time in the year 1814? I need not pursue this subject farther. Indeed, it would have been useless to treat of it at all with any person having before him the records of the diplomatic history of the two countries for the last half century. My object in adverting to it is to correct an error arising, I am ready to believe, not from any intention to misrepresent, but from want of information, and which seemed to be sufficiently calculated to make some refutation useful toward promoting the desired friendly and equitable settlement of this question.

We believe the position maintained by us on the subject of this boundary to be founded in justice and equity; and we deny that we have been determined in our pretensions by policy and expediency. I might, perhaps, fairly admit, that those last mentioned considerations have prompted in some measure, our perseverance in maintaining them. The territory in controversy is (for that portion of it at least which is likely to come to Great Britain by any amicable settlement,) as worthless for any purpose of habitation or cultivation, as probably any tract of equal size on the habitable globe, and if it were not for the obvious circumstance of its connecting the British North American provinces, I believe I might venture to say, that whatever might have been the merit of our case, we should long since have given up the controversy, and willingly have made the sacrifice to the wishes of a country with which it is so much our interest, as it is our desire, to maintain the most perfect harmony and good will.

I trust that this sentiment must be manifest in my unreserved communication with you on this, and on all other subjects connected with my mission. If I have failed in this respect, I shall have ill obeyed the instructions of my Government and the earnest dictates of my personal inclination. Permit me, sir, to avail myself of this, my first opportunity of formally addressing you, to assure you unfeignedly of my most distinguished consideration. ASHBURTON

Hon. Daniel Webster, &c. &c.

Mr. Webster to Lord Ashburton. DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Washington, June 17, 1842.

Lord Ashburton having been charged by the Queen's Government, with full powers to negotiate and settle all matters of discussion between the United States and England, and having, on his arrival at Washington, announced that, in relation to the question of the north-eastern boundary of the United States, he was authorized to treat for a conventional line, a line by agreement, on such terms and conditions, and with such mutual considerations and equivalents, as might be thought just and equitable, and that he was ready to enter upon a negotiation for such conventional line, so soon as this Government should say that it was authorized and ready, on its part, to commence such negotiation—the undersigned, Secretary of State of the United States, has now the honor to acquaint his Lordship, by direction of the President, that the undersigned is ready, on behalf of the Government of the United States, and duly authorized to proceed to the conventional line, or line by agreement, and will be happy to have an interview on this subject, at his Lordship's convenience.

The undersigned avails himself of this occasion to tender to Lord Ashburton assurances of his distinguished consideration. DANIEL WEBSTER.

Lord Ashburton, &c. &c.

Lord Ashburton to Mr. Webster. Washington, June 17, 1842.

The undersigned, Plenipotentiary of Her Britannic Majesty, on an extraordinary and special mission to the United States of America, has the honor of acknowledging, with much satisfaction, the communication received to-day from Mr. Webster, Secretary of State of the United States, that he is ready, on behalf of the United States, and duly authorized, in relation to the question of the north-eastern boundary of the United States, to proceed to the consideration of a conventional line, or line by agreement, on such terms and conditions, and with such mutual considerations

and equivalents as might be thought just and equitable. And in reply to Mr. Webster's invitation to the undersigned, to fix some time for their conference upon this subject, he begs to propose to call on Mr. Webster, at the Department of State, to-morrow, at 12 o'clock, for this purpose, should that time be perfectly convenient to Mr. Webster.

The undersigned avails himself of this opportunity to assure Mr. Webster of his distinguished consideration. ASHBURTON. Hon. Daniel Webster, &c. &c.

Mr. Webster to Lord Ashburton. DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Washington, June 17, 1842.

The Secretary of State will have great pleasure in seeing Lord Ashburton at 12 o'clock to-morrow, as proposed by him. [TO BE CONTINUED.]

DEVELOPMENTS.

No additional evidence was needed to convince intelligent men of the fraud committed on the people in the Presidential election of 1840; but Mr. Wise and the Madisonian are disclosing some particulars which ought not to escape public attention. In an editorial article of yesterday, the Madisonian, in effect, makes the following statements, viz:

"That John Tyler, late in the winter of 1839, or early in the spring of 1840, was consulted by certain individuals as to his opinion with respect to a United States Bank; that, in answer, he addressed a letter to Hon. Henry A. Wise, stating it as his opinion that a Bank of the United States was unconstitutional, and that he never could sanction the incorporation of one, without an alteration of the Constitution."

"This letter was exhibited to all the leading Whigs in Congress, for their perusal, and for their advice as to the expediency of its publication; and they deprecated, most eloquently, any revelation of its contents to the public, for fear it might hurt the ticket, where the question of a United States Bank was popular."

There are two aspects in which the facts here disclosed illustrate the character of Whiggery. First, the concealment of John Tyler's opinion from the people, lest the truth should "hurt the ticket." This was a fraud on such of the people as were in favor of a bank.

Secondly, the conduct of the leading Whigs towards John Tyler, in charging him with bad faith and treason to the whig party for his veto of the bank bill, when they had his written declaration, made before the election, that he considered a Bank of the United States unconstitutional! The Madisonian says, some of those very members of Congress "who strongly protested against Mr. Tyler's wish for the publication of his letter, are the same persons who now most loudly attack him with the charge of gross inconsistency of opinion, and treachery in conduct." Such is the character of Whiggery!

These facts tend to elevate Mr. Tyler. It now appears that he not only avowed his opinion in writing, but wished it published. His error was in not making known those opinions himself. He should not have remained the quiet inaction of those whom he knew to be practicing a fraud on the public, by withholding his opinions, as well as those of General Harrison, from "the public eye." For that error, he is now punished by the abuse of the knaves whom he suffered to use him; but, compared with them, history will pronounce him an angel of light.

In the following statements, the Madisonian makes important disclosures in reference to a later period, which further illustrate the character of Whiggery, viz:

"John Tyler, President of the U. States, so far from using the question of a Bank or no Bank as a means to forward his re-election, distinctly and emphatically announced to his first Cabinet his cheerful willingness to declare his intention of retiring after the close of the first term, so that his settled conviction of the inexpediency and unconstitutionality of a Bank should not be interpreted into a desire to propitiate the popular sentiment to his support—and that his Cabinet dissuaded him from any such expression of his independent, honest feeling."

"A committee of the members of the present Congress waited on Mr. Cushing and requested him to state to the President, that the question of a United States Bank should no longer be agitated, if (he President) would promise to retain the Cabinet as it was constituted by General Harrison."

What will be thought, after this disclosure, of those members of the 'first cabinet' who resigned, charging the President with duplicity on this very subject?

But the last item lays bare the character of Whiggery. "Men, not principles," should be their motto. They were willing to give up that great 'Whig principle'—a National Bank—if only the original cabinet could be retained!—Office was preferred to principle. The cabinet were willing, for the sake of office, to give up a bank. But when they could not get a promise of being retained in office, they resigned, to avoid being turned out, and made themselves the victims of principle!

This is whiggery all over. False, treacherous, selfish, and unprincipled—by concealing its principles, it gained power; and to keep it, was ready to abjure them; but when by such means, the end cannot be attained, it becomes all at once the pure and disinterested lover of principle, sacrificing office for its sake.

But why were the Whigs so anxious to retain Harrison's cabinet? For this plain reason:—With one exception it was a Clay Cabinet. It was constructed to give Henry Clay the benefit of all the domestic patronage of the Government in a contest for the succession. Butcher Ewing, and his associate Granger, were to place all the offices of the Treasury and Post Office in the hands of Mr. Clay's most unscrupulous partisans. Ewing was performing his bloody work without mercy or remorse; and Granger has boasted in Congress that he decapitated 1,700 postmasters in his short short reign, and in one year would have added 3,000 more to the list. To finish this work for the benefit of Henry Clay, the Whigs of Congress desired to keep the butchers in office, and, to accomplish it, offered to give up the bank! For this price, they were ready

to sell their principles, and to barter away 'Whig measures'; but the Captain declined the bargain! It was then that "head him or die" became the Whig motto; and we have seen a nine months' regular session of Congress, besides several months "extra," chiefly spent in this profligate game.

The Madisonian promises further disclosures, and we bid it God speed. While it is wiping wicked aspersions from the character of President Tyler, it is doing justice to an outraged people, and furnishing authentic materials for a history of the Whig party.—Globe.

OXFORD DEMOCRAT.

PARIS, OCTOBER 11, 1842.

A GLANCE AT THE OPERATION OF THE NEW TARIFF.—The tariff has been looked upon in some quarters as the harbinger of improved trade in all directions, although no one has undertaken to show, by what possible operation the means of the people to purchase goods at higher prices can be improved by it. The mere fact, that, with markets glutted with goods of all descriptions, foreign and domestic, a tariff has been passed prohibiting the future introduction of some of those goods, produces no positive benefit to the people at large, nor does it give any immediate relief to the manufacturers. It only holds out to the latter, the hope that, in future years, after the present stock of goods shall be consumed, they may obtain at least present prices for those which hereafter may be manufactured. This, in regard to trade, is the whole length and breadth of the tariff. In regard to revenue it will most undoubtedly diminish the customs.

The effect of the new tariff will be in a degree, by excluding foreign goods that might come here in exchange for produce, to diminish the foreign market, and by retaining the surplus produce at home, sink the value of the whole crop to a fearful extent. In 1840, 600,000 barrels of flour were sent to England, in exchange for iron and cloths, or rather iron and cloths were sent here and the flour taken in payment and carried back. That method of disposing of the surplus is now checked greatly by the tariff, and the farmers are called upon to pay higher prices for all the iron they use, and all the clothes they wear.

The manufacturers have promised the farmers that if the tariff was imposed, they would buy more flour and pork at better prices. Let us see what chance there is of such an event. Abbott Lawrence, Esq., is proprietor of a factory at Lowell, which employs 1,300 females, average wages \$2.00 per week—and 200 males, average wages \$1.50 per week. They manufacture 210,000 yards of cotton cloth per week. Assuming that the average cost of the goods manufactured by Mr. Lawrence is 12 cents per yard, the weekly value is \$25,200. By the tariff, this value is raised 30 per cent, \$7,560—equal at present rates to 1,764 bbls of flour. Now will Mr. Lawrence and the 1,500 people in his employ, eat an additional 1,764 barrel of flour, each, per week, because the farmers, through the intervention of the Government, are obliged to pay them 30 per cent more for the goods they manufacture? If they do not eat more bread in order to reduce the supply, will they voluntarily give \$2.00 per barrel above the market price, for what they do eat? It is impossible that the farmers will allow themselves to be extorted by such a pretence! The fact is that in this country the shipping, commercial and agricultural interests are identical. The farmers and planters produce more than the United States can consume, and they must find a foreign market for the surplus; and in so doing they must receive the commodities of the foreign market in payment. Precisely to the extent to which they do this, will the shipping and commercial interests prosper, and as their interests acquire wealth in traffic, will the home manufacturers really prosper. They must follow their customers and not attempt to lead them.

JOHN C. CALHOUN. As this able statesman and honest patriot is to visit the north in November, we hope the Democracy of Portland will take early steps to invite him to this city. It would be a matter of profound gratification to the sterling men of the east to see and shake hands with him. JOHN C. CALHOUN has ever been true to the cause of the masses. There is not a particle of aristocracy in his feelings or sentiments. We always knew where to find him, and that in every emergency he is trustworthy. The nation may well be proud of him for his single-hearted democracy, whole intellect of all lands may be equally proud of his commanding abilities.—Portland American.

We cordially unite with our Portland friends in the hope that Mr. Calhoun may visit Maine while at the North. No man in our whole country unless it be old Hickory himself, could gather together such immense crowds of hard-fisted Democrats, to see and hear, as this great Statesman and Orator. No man can boast in this country of having retained through every vicissitude the confidence of the people of his own State so long and with so great unanimity as Mr. Calhoun. He carried South Carolina for Mr. Van Buren at the last Presidential election against the influence of such men as Senator Preston and General Thompson, with only ten dissenting votes. With the same influence against him he was reelected to the U. States Senate with the unanimous vote of the Legislature of South Carolina. We believe this case stands unparalleled in the history of our Republic.—(We would again express the hope that the people of Maine may have an opportunity to see and hear a Statesman that any nation at any age might well be proud of.)

FEDERAL COMPLIMENT.—The United States Gazette, in speaking of the recent Democratic triumph in Maine, says:—"Almost every thing proper and decent is prostrated, just as the track of a whirlwind, through a forest is marked by the destruction of the trees."

That's what we call a genuine, unadulterated, old-fashioned federal sentiment. Guess the Editor didn't feel well.

The Hon. George McDuffie is recommended by the Democratic party of South Carolina, for the Senate of the United States, in place of Mr. Preston, whose term of service expires on the 4th of March next.

MR. WEBSTER'S SPEECH.

Mr. Webster, in his speech in Faneuil Hall, Boston on the 29th ult., wherein it was announced that he would "define his position," places himself in open hostility to all the late movements of Mr. Clay, and not less openly condemns the late course of nearly the entire body of the whig party. It is asserted that all the expected results of the whig victory of 1840 might have been, and may yet be realized; that bad temper and ill considered counsels have dictated the breach between the President and those who elected him; that instead of attempting what was practicable, Mr. Clay and his advisers have made ideal issues about proposed changes of the Constitution, which can never be accomplished; and that finally the whole course of events had been controlled by the personal spleen and personal ambition of the western orator, rather than by any sincere wish to carry out the great reforms promised to the people. For himself, Mr. Webster refuses to embark in the controversy with Mr. Tyler, and holds the political majority of Congress responsible for the past and future failure of Whig measures. He condemns Mr. Clay for making the issue with the President at the extra session, in relation to the control of the States over the establishment of branches by the proposed National Bank. Less distinctly, but still clearly enough, he condemns him for his position in respect to the tariff, which jeopardized that measure, and eventually made its passage dependent upon democratic votes. And lastly, he condemns Congress for refusing to adopt the President's plan of Exchequer, which he insists would accomplish all that his party have ever promised in relation to the currency.

This speech of Mr. Webster, says the Age, will produce a powerful impression upon the reflecting portion of the whigs. Already distrustful as they are, of the furious and desperate policy of Mr. Clay, they will find all their doubts confirmed by the well argued strictures of one, to whom they have always looked with confidence, as an exponent of their principles.—In Massachusetts, where Mr. Webster is most strong, their effect will be decisive.

So far as the Democracy are concerned, their relations with Mr. Webster will not be altered by this speech. He still remains as ever before, the advocate of the most offensive federal tenets, and the quarrel between him and Mr. Clay, is merely upon the question, which of them has done the most to embody these tenets in the administration of the government.

He reaffirms all the positions in respect to the case of Alexander McLeod, which met the unanimous and indignant rebuke of the Democracy in Congress, and throughout the country.

He takes upon himself the entire responsibility of the disgraceful Treaty negotiated with Great Britain, coupled with the declaration of general views of our policy towards England, which the Democracy have ever repudiated.

He reaffirms his adherence to the extreme system of protective and prohibitive duties. He advocates the odious policy of distributing the proceeds of the public lands, and even hints at the still more odious policy of assuming the debts of the States.

He expresses his disapprobation of all the Vetoes of President Tyler; every one of which has been heartily sustained by the entire Democracy.

In reference to the currency, he repeats and enlarges all that has ever been insisted upon by his party, in respect to the necessity of subjecting it to national control. And although he treats as impracticable, the idea of a national bank having a capital based upon private subscription, he advocates a paper money machine, vastly more patent for every purpose of mischief and corruption.

Mr. Webster is, in fine, Mr. Webster still; English, federal, and aristocratic. With him, or with those who act with him, the Democracy can have no fellowship.

NEW POLITICAL MOVEMENTS.

The Tyler Post Master at Portland has taken the Post Office printing from the Advertiser and given it to the Argus. Some thing new under a Federal Administration! But there may be other movements of an explosive nature.

F. O. J. Smith has just returned from Washington, and his back shows Mr. Clay, now chief of the Argus, has gone to Washington to see Capt. Tyler, &c. Reports say that the Eastern Argus will have the public printing under the present Administration, and F. O. J. Smith have the appointment of Postmaster at Portland. Time will develop the whole matter.

"In Woodstock in Maine one party seems to have what politicians term a 'majority sufficient for all practical purposes.' The vote at the last election stood Whig 1, Democrat 120. How far is it from Hornby?"—Barre Gazette.

A small mistake, Mr. Gazette. Substitute an 8, instead of 1, for the Whigs. Cook skins are scarce in that town. There was but three in 1840, and they have changed their color, with a few others, from some cause—probably from the effect of the vetoes. Hornby is a decided Whig town at the head of Salt River, and one hundred miles from the Whig Head Quarters and in the same latitude of the Algerines of R. I.

WM. B. BRAY, Esq. (dem.) was elected Representative from Turner and Hebron on Wednesday last.

Thanksgiving.—In Massachusetts November 24th; in New Hampshire 22nd of December.

The offer of \$5,000 reward for the arrest of Gov. Dorr, and his delivery to the King of Rhode Island, expired on the 29th ult.

A noble sentiment.—Bear it in mind, and vote accordingly, that a poor man's soul, body, and mind, are just as sacred to God and the American Constitution as those of a rich one.

John C. Coll, was brought before the Court of Oyer and Terminer, New York, on the 27th ult. and sentenced, by Judge Kent, to be hung on the 18th day of November next, for the murder of Samuel Adams.

The Boston Post says,—Mr. Clay used to be called the great pacificator; but now, as he is the embodiment of whig principles, he is to be called the great personification.

Everything for publish the following Benton, which is the present one is organizing an principles, policy of free government To the Editors of

Gentlemen—your kind and no forward as a United States, let you that I have to be brought for last summer, ga effect, to the raising of the demon of Philadelphia, dings of the m that you will this note, that sion on this point lief is that the next election, a cessful, and for motto—"Every

Heroes of the ted States just on the pension The oldest man county, Pennsylv

MR. WEBSTER'S SPEECH.

The New York ca the following "The friends are 'one and ig of that party ag no Democratic there is no hea ousies to distr from the great my. They wa that glorious is once more e between Fanc more of 'armu ties' each see that is not far has long seen day, indicated by his die of the Unsr Power." "All benedict to be Why not have gle sentence, there have be

The Madison editorial, comm we have no fu inquire, how Webster with only a produc first place in a regards excess and has his bes Dece the utter him, in the e cratic side of as in the day Duaneat "The Bankr of Missouri, voluntary pro vey are ad igned to the icks the Jud been taken fr the United St ch. The pro stantiality, th the term "lanc restricted handsp as and, therefore tem have on subject, le lament, and to provide t discharge of a m of four C Congress had co-acture, th law imperm, cient provi to extend the or to pass an The Judge discharge of tery applica a victory of and is, therel the United S

Trouble an on, to the e August 24th, tween the C appears that ions did un tered some low longing took it into l had conjur not allowe apite, but w into the rive This all hap he has been Cherokees s committed a them to com his warriors

A prophet Buffalo, and speaks in th "Buffalo ary, at 11 o Given un

Russia an merce says: nced in C much grate and the vea much more against the nder to mar death.

Mellicie Mexican Co millie leg This is n of brass ar long time.

The nun Bankrupt 1208. In S

Removal. M. General Ohio, appoi

100

GRAND SPRING AND SUMMER MEDICINE.

"SOW'S THE DAY AND SOW'S THE HOUR."

WHITWELL'S TEMPERANCE BITTERS.

READER, have you no appetite? Do you feel unwell what you eat? Then use these Bitters. They will do you good. They are a powerful purgative, and will cleanse the system, and give you a healthy appetite. They are a powerful purgative, and will cleanse the system, and give you a healthy appetite. They are a powerful purgative, and will cleanse the system, and give you a healthy appetite.

WHITWELL'S ORIGINAL OPODELO-DOG.

The Original Opoodelo is considered by the first physicians in the United States to rank higher than any other composition in existence for the following complaints, viz: Bruises, Gout, Sprains, Rheumatism, Cramp, Numbness, Stiffness or Weakness of the Neck or Joints, Chills, Chapped Hands, &c. Be not imposed upon, see that "Whitwell's Opoodelo" is stamped on each bottle, and receive no other as a substitute, unless you wish to prove the truth of the Hufschmidt remark: "That the pleasure is great Of being cheated, as to cheat."

ALSO, AS ABOVE, VOLATILE AROMATIC SNUFF.

Composed principally of roots and aromatic herbs.—Its flavor is fragrant and delightful, the effect pleasing and salutary.—It stimulates the spirits, and removes drowsiness. It is of essential service in nervous headaches. In cases of Catarrh of the Cold in the head, a pinch or two at night effectually removes all obstructions. In a crowded house, or after a long walk, a pinch or two of this Snuff will be extremely grateful, and the favor of which will never all the purposes of a snuffing bottle. Scented persons will find it a cheering relief from ennui and lassitude.

This article is recommended by Dr. Waterhouse, member of the Medical Society in London, of the Medical Society in France, and late Professor of the theory and practice of Physic in Harvard University. Price 50 and 25 cents a bottle. Sold by THOMAS CROCKER, Paris Hill.

A NATURAL REMEDY, Suited to our constitutions, and competent to the cure of every curable disease, will be found in

Wright's Indian Vegetable PILLS.

Of the North American College of Health.

These extraordinary Pills are composed of Plants which grow spontaneously on our own soil; and are therefore better adapted to our constitutions than medicines concocted from foreign drugs. However well they may be compounded; and the Indian Vegetable PILLS are founded upon the principle that the human body is in a state of nature.

Subject to but one DISEASE, viz: corrupt humors, and that said medicine cures this disease on

NATURAL PRINCIPLES.

By cleansing and purifying the body it will be manifest that if the constitution is not entirely exhausted—a persevering in their use, according to direction, is absolutely certain to drive disease of every name from the body.

THE INDIAN VEGETABLE PILLS

will be found one of the best, if not the very best medicine in the world for carrying out this

GRAND PURIFYING PRINCIPLE,

because they expel from the body all morbid and corrupt humors (the cause of disease) is an ever true and NATURAL MAN-NER; and while they every day

GIVE EASE AND PLEASURE.

disease of every name is rapidly driven from the body.

The above named INDIAN VEGETABLE PILLS have been three years before the American public; and we can now say without fear of contradiction, that of all the various medicines which have heretofore been popular, not one has given such universal and permanent relief, as this medicine has done.

Not only do all who use it invariably experience relief, and recommend it in the strongest terms, but it has effected some of the most astonishing cures ever performed by medicine.

Hitherto, very few of the numerous testimonials which have been received in favor of this extraordinary medicine have been published, as the medicine obtained its present great celebrity more by its own intrinsic goodness, than from extensive advertising. It has been, however, proper, however, to offer the following opinions of the public, thus, as to the value of the Indian Vegetable Pills is not confined to any one section, but is rapidly extending itself to every part of the Union.

From the Philadelphia Saturday Evening Post.

THE INDIAN VEGETABLE PILLS.

Wright's Indian Vegetable Pills are attaining great celebrity in New England, and all other parts of the United States. The attempt of persons to defeat the sale of these pills, by the sale of spurious articles, meets with general reprobation. Dr. Wright is an indefatigable business man, and shows an array of cures, and the medicines, which warrant confidence in the virtues of his Indian Vegetable Pills.

From the Boston Daily Times.

INDIAN VEGETABLE PILLS.

Of all the public advertised medicines of the day, we know of none that we can more safely recommend for the "ills that flesh is heir to," than the Pills that are sold at the depot of the North American College of Health, No. 138 Tremont Street, Boston. Several instances we know of, where they are used in families with the highest satisfaction; and no longer ago than yesterday, we learned an eminent physician of this city, to commend them in high terms. There seems to be in the community a great repugnance to the use of QUACK MEDICINE; and they are indifferently termed, but it was mainly owing to the regular Dr. D's constantly denouncing them. They are, however, becoming more liberal in this respect, and the consequence is that good vegetable medicines are now more extensively used than formerly.

CAUTION.

This is to inform the public, that all genuine medicine has on the side of the boxes,

WRIGHT'S INDIAN VEGETABLE PILLS.

(INDIAN PROPRIETARY.)

Of the North American College of Health.

And also under the border of the label, will be found in small type, "Entered according to the act of Congress, in the year 1840, by Wm. Wright, in the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the Southern District of Pennsylvania."

The public will also remember that all who sell the genuine Indian Vegetable Pills, are provided with a certificate of agency, signed by

WILLIAM WRIGHT, Vice President Of the North American College of Health and that Pedlars are never in any case allowed to sell the genuine Medicine. All travelling agents will be provided with Certificates of Agency as above described; and those who cannot show one will be known as base impostors.

Beware of one A. L. NORCROSS, who is selling a Counterfeit Pill.

N. B. Buy of none except the following regularly appointed AGENTS.

THOMAS CROCKER, Paris, O. H. Paine, South Paris, E. Smith, Atwood, Buckfield, Winslow Hill, Hartford, John D. Smith, Concord, N. H. E. Goodnow, Norway, James Durand, Oxford, Wm. F. Welch, Waterbury, Wm. Cousins, Danville, Joseph Freeman, Minot, Corners, James F. Davis, Dan-Turner Village, John Blake & Son, Turner, Sept. 1841.

epitaph 18

THE subscribers hereby give notice to all persons interested in the estate of the late of Waterford, in the county of Oxford, deceased, by giving bonds as the law directs.—He therefore requests all persons who are indebted to the said deceased's estate, to make immediate payment; and those who have any demands thereon, to exhibit the same to

ELBRIDGE GERRY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21

Sept. 21, 1842

JOHN KELSEY, 21